


REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 5. Claim 5 was rejected based on Huber. Without admitting that Huber is prior art, it is noted that Huber speaks of parabolic, not elliptical, reflectors. Therefore, Huber does not appear to be pertinent and claim 1, as amended, should now be in condition for allowance.

Claim 11 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 16. Claim 16 was rejected over Nakata's teaching of the use of tokens. However, Nakata's tokens are for transmitting only. They have nothing to do with receiving. See column 5, lines 51-53. Therefore, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 11 is respectfully requested. On the same basis, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 21 is also requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 12, 2006



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation